

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/466,698	06/06/1995	PHILIPPE SANSONETTI	2356.0043-02	3343
22852	7590 03/26/2	004	EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			NAVARRO, ALBERT MARK	
1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1645	

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	08/466,698	SANSONETTI ET AL.				
, ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark Navarro	1645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 27 February 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advi	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the	e final rejection, whichever is later. In no				
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat	FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three molearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action: or (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>01 December 2003</u> . Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		••				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)☐ disapproved by t	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:						
		MARK NAVARRO PRIMARY EXAMINER				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 08/466,698

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly filed limitations that the Shigella "cannot spread substantially within infected cells of a host and cannot spread substantially from infected to uninfected cells of thehost" would require a new search and rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for the term "substantially."